

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU OF  
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL  
PROPERTY COUNSEL

**PCT**

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING  
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL  
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY  
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION  
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY

JUN - 2 2006

ERSFELD, Dean, A.  
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel  
Post Office Box 55427  
Saint Paul, MN 55133-3427  
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

**RECEIVED**

JUN 05 2006

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 26 May 2006 (26.05.2006)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference 59104WO003		<b>D.A. ERSFELD</b> IMPORTANT NOTICE
International application No. PCT/US2004/038033	International filing date (day/month/year) 12 November 2004 (12.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14 November 2003 (14.11.2003)
Applicant 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY et al		

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

**T. VUE**

JUN 02 2006

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Yolaine Cussac
Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35	Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 80

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 59104WO003	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2004/038033	International filing date (day/month/year) 12 November 2004 (12.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14 November 2003 (14.11.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- |                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I   | Basis of the report                                                                                                                                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II             | Priority                                                                                                                                                        |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability                                                                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV  | Lack of unity of invention                                                                                                                                      |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V   | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI             | Certain documents cited                                                                                                                                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII            | Certain defects in the international application                                                                                                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII           | Certain observations on the international application                                                                                                           |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

Date of issuance of this report  
15 May 2006 (15.05.2006)

Authorized officer

Yolaine Cussac

Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 80

The International Bureau of WIPO  
34, chemin des Colombettes  
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

30 JAN 2006  
WIPO PCT

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:  
DEAN A. ERSFELD  
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL  
POST OFFICE BOX 33427  
SAINT PAUL, MN 55133-3427

## PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		Date of mailing (day/month/year) <b>25 JAN 2006</b>
59104WO003		<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/US04/38033	International filing date (day/month/year) 12 November 2004 (12.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 14 November 2003 (14.11.2003)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(8): C07D 471/00; A61K 31/44 and US Cl.: 546/82; 514/293		
Applicant 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I      Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II      Priority
- ☒ Box No. III      Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☒ Box No. IV      Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V      Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI      Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII      Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII      Certain observations on the international application

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201	Date of completion of this opinion 13 December 2005 (13.12.2005)	Authorized officer Amelia A. Owens Telephone No. 571-272-0700
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US04/38033

**Box No. I Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed  
☐ a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing  
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper  
☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.  
☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.  
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/38033

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application

☒ claims Nos. 13-15 and 17-38

because:

☐ the said international application, or the said claim Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (*specify*):

☒ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 13-15 and 17-38 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

The claims are found to be unsearchable because they are improper multiple dependent claims. PCT 6

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_ are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☐ no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. \_\_\_\_\_

☐ a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit:

☐ furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

☐ furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

☐ pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b).

☐ a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.

☐ the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.

☐ See Supplemental Box for further details.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/38033

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention

1. ☒ In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:
- ☒ paid additional fees
  - ☐ paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee
  - ☐ paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid
  - ☐ not paid additional fees

2. ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.

3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is

☐ complied with

☒ not complied with for the following reasons:

See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/210)

4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:

☐ all parts.

☒ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1,2 in part where Ra/Rb (1) do not form ring; (2) form a 6-membered carbocyclic ring; (3) form a 6-membered nitrogen containing ring AND claims 3-9.11

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US04/38033

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>1,2 in-part, 3-12,16</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>1,2 in-part, 3-12,16</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1,2 in-part, 3-12,16</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

**2. Citations and explanations:**

Claims 1-2 (in part where RA/RB (1) do not form ring; (2) form a 6-membered carbocyclic ring; (3) form a 6-membered nitrogen containing ring along with claims 3-12,16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Claims 1-2 (in part where RA/RB (1) do not form ring; (2) form a 6-membered carbocyclic ring; (3) form a 6-membered nitrogen containing ring along with claims 3-12,16 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the compounds.

See Kshirsagar et al (CA 143:26604) that teach compounds similar to those claimed. See below. However, the reference has a 2005 date which is after the instant November 14, 2003 priority date.

